ORDER LIST

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1993

CERTIORARI -- SUMMARY DISPOSITION

93-5382 MAHON, JOHNNIE RAY V. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis and the petition for a writ of certiorari are granted. The judgment is vacated and the case is remanded to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for further consideration in light of Antoine v. Byers & Anderson, Inc., 508 U. S. __ (1993).

ORDERS IN PENDING CASES

No. __ LORRAINE SPILLER, ET AL. V. MAINE, ET AL.

The motion to direct the Clerk to file a petition for a writ of certiorari out-of-time is denied.

D-1294 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF DIANE WILP SPIES

It having been reported to the Court that Diane

Wilp Spies, of Sherwood, Oregon, has been disbarred from
the practice of law by the Supreme Court of Oregon and
this Court by order of August 26, 1993, having suspended
the said Diane Wilp Spies from the practice of law in

this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued;

It is ordered that the said Diane Wilp Spies be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1299 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JOHN S. MATTHEWS

It having been reported to the Court that John S.

Matthews, of Tampa, Florida, has resigned from the practice of law before the Supreme Court of Florida and this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having suspended the said John S. Matthews from the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said John S. Matthews be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys

admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1300 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF PETER R. STROMER

It having been reported to the Court that Peter R.

Stromer, of San Jose, California, has resigned from the practice of law before the Supreme Court of California and this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having suspended the said Peter R. Stromer from the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Peter R. Stromer be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1302 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF LINDA ANTIONETTE WILLIS

It having been reported to the Court that Linda

Antionette Willis, of Cleveland, Ohio, has been
suspended from the practice of law by the Supreme Court
of Ohio and this Court by order of September 24, 1993,
having suspended the said Linda Antionette Willis from

the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring her to show cause why she should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Linda Antionette Willis be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1303 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF MICHAEL JOSEPH BLAKE

It having been reported to the Court that Michael
Joseph Blake, of Dearborn Heights, Michigan, has been
disbarred from the practice of law by the Supreme Court
of Michigan and this Court by order of September 24,
1993, having suspended the said Michael Joseph Blake
from the practice of law in this Court and directed that
a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should
not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Michael Joseph Blake be

disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1305 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF LOUIS MICHAEL THRASHER

It having been reported to the Court that Louis

Michael Thrasher, of Lincoln, Nebraska, has been

disbarred from the practice of law by the Supreme Court

of Nebraska and this Court by order of September 24,

1993, having suspended the said Louis Michael Thrasher

from the practice of law in this Court and directed that

a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should

not be disbarred:

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued;

It is ordered that the said Louis Michael Thrasher be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1307 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF HARVEY N. SHENBERG

It having been reported to the Court that Harvey N.

Shenberg, of South Miami, Florida, has been suspended

from the practice of law by the Supreme Court of Florida

and this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having

suspended the said Harvey N. Shenberg from the practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Harvey N. Shenberg be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1308 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF DAVID GOODHART

It having been reported to the Court that David
Goodhart, of Miami, Florida, has resigned from the
practice of law before the Supreme Court of Florida and
this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having
suspended the said David Goodhart from the practice of
law in this Court and directed that a rule issue
requiring him to show cause why he should not be
disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said David Goodhart be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1310 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF BERTRAM ZWEIBON

It having been reported to the Court that Bertram

Zweibon, of New York, New York, has been disbarred from
the practice of law by the Appellate Division, Supreme

Court of New York, First Judicial Department, and this

Court by order of September 24, 1993, having suspended
the said Bertram Zweibon from the practice of law in
this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him
to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Bertram Zweibon be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1311 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF OMAR Z. GHOBASHY

It having been reported to the Court that Omar Z.

Ghobashy, of New York, New York, has been disbarred from

the practice of law by the Appellate Division, Supreme
Court of New York, First Judicial Department, and this
Court by order of September 24, 1993, having suspended
the said Omar Z. Ghobashy from the practice of law in
this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him
to show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that a response has been filed:

It is ordered that the said Omar Z. Ghobashy be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JACOB RABINOWITZ

It having been reported to the Court that Jacob
Rabinowitz, of Brooklyn, New York, has been suspended
from the practice of law by the Appellate Division,
Supreme Court of New York, First Judicial Department,
and this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having

D-1312

law in this Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred:

suspended the said Jacob Rabinowitz from the practice of

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that a response has been filed;

It is ordered that the said Jacob Rabinowitz be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1313 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF LEONARD HOWARD RUBIN

It having been reported to the Court that Leonard
Howard Rubin, of Tarrytown, New York, has been disbarred
from the practice of law by the Appellate Division,
Supreme Court of New York, First Judicial Department,
and this Court by order of September 24, 1993, having
suspended the said Leonard Howard Rubin from the
practice of law in this Court and directed that a rule
issue requiring him to show cause why he should not be
disbarred:

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Leonard Howard Rubin be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys

admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1314 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF SEYMOUR BROWN

It having been reported to the Court that Seymour
Brown, of New York, New York, has resigned from the
practice of law before the Appellate Division, Supreme
Court of New York, First Judicial Department, and this
Court by order of September 24, 1993, having suspended
the said Seymour Brown from the practice of law in this
Court and directed that a rule issue requiring him to
show cause why he should not be disbarred;

And it appearing that the said rule was duly issued and served upon the respondent and that the time to file a response has expired;

It is ordered that the said Seymour Brown be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court.

D-1315 IN THE MATTER OF KENT HUTCHESON

Kent Hutcheson, of Nauvoo, Illinois, having requested to resign as a member of the Bar of this Court, it is ordered that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys admitted to practice before the Bar of this Court. The Rule to Show Cause, heretofore issued on

October 18, 1993, is hereby discharged.

- D-1331 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JOSEPH J. O'LEARY

 It is ordered that Joseph J. O'Leary, of Canton,

 Ohio, be suspended from the practice of law in this

 Court and that a rule issue, returnable within forty

 days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be

 disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.
- D-1332 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF JOHN WESLEY DAYS

 It is ordered that John Wesley Days, of Silver

 Spring, Maryland, be suspended from the practice of law in this Court and that a rule issue, returnable within forty days, requiring him to show cause why he should not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.
- D-1333 IN THE MATTER OF DISBARMENT OF STEPHEN ALAN SHARP

 It is ordered that Stephen Alan Sharp, of

 Washington, D.C., be suspended from the practice of law

 in this Court and that a rule issue, returnable within

 forty days, requiring him to show cause why he should

 not be disbarred from the practice of law in this Court.
- 8 ORIG. ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, ET AL.

The joint motion of the parties to suspend the briefing schedule set by order of the Court on October 18, 1993, is granted.

65 ORIG. TEXAS V. NEW MEXICO

The motion of the River Master for award of fees and expenses is granted and the River Master is awarded a total of \$535.54 for the period of July 1 - September 30, 1993, to be paid equally by the parties.

92-8346 SHANNON, TERRY LEE V. UNITED STATES

The motion for appointment of counsel is granted and it is ordered that Thomas R. Trout, Esquire, of New Albany, Mississippi, is appointed to serve as counsel for the petitioner in this case.

92-8822 JOHNSON, WILLIE DARNELL V. CALIFORNIA

The respondent is requested to file a response to the petition for rehearing within thirty days.

- 93-781 SINKFIELD, DARRYL, ET AL. V. WESCH, PAUL, ET AL.
- 93-6747 THIGPEN, WILLIAM, ET AL. V. UNITED STATES

 The motions of petitioners to expedite

 consideration of petitions for a writs of certiorari

 are denied.

93-6250 BRUCE, CHARLES B. V. CA DOC, ET AL.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis is denied. Petitioner is allowed until

December 20, 1993, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33 of the Rules of this Court.

93-6253 ALLUM, ROBERT V. SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT CT.

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis is denied. Petitioner is allowed until December 20, 1993, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33 of the Rules of this Court.

93-6273 ANTONELLI, MICHAEL V. O'MALLEY, JACK

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis is denied. See Rule 39.8. Petitioner is allowed until December 20, 1993, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33 of the Rules of this Court.

93-6293 WILLIAMS, WOODROW, ET UX. V. SEEBER, BRIAN, ET AL.

The motion of petitioners for leave to proceed *in* forma pauperis is denied. Petitioners are allowed until December 20, 1993, within which to pay the docketing fee required by Rule 38(a) and to submit a petition in compliance with Rule 33 of the Rules of this Court.

CERTIORARI GRANTED

- 93-489 O'MELVENY & MYERS V. FDIC, ETC., ET AL.
 - The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted.
- 93-356) MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP. V. AT&T COMPANY
- 93-521) UNITED STATES, ET AL. V. AT&T CO., ET AL.

The petitions for writs of certiorari are granted.

The cases are consolidated and a total of one hour is allotted for oral argument. Justice O'Connor took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

- 93-517) BD. OF ED. KIRYAS JOEL, ETC. V. GRUMET, LOUIS, ET AL.
- 93-527) BD. OF ED. MONROE-WOODBURY V. GRUMET, LOUIS, ET AL.
- 93-539) ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW YORK V. GRUMET, LOUIS, ET AL.

The petitions for writs of certiorari are granted.

The cases are consolidated and a total of one hour is allotted for oral argument.

93-518 DOLAN, FLORENCE V. TIGARD, OREGON

The motion of Northwest Legal Foundation for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae* is granted. The motion of Pacific Legal Foundation, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of National Association of Home Builders, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of Mountain

States Legal Foundation, et al. for leave to file a brief as amici curiae is granted. The motion of Washington Legal Foundation, et al. for leave to file a brief as amici curiae is granted. The motion of Oregon Association of Realtors for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is granted.

93-6497 McFARLAND, FRANK B. V. COLLINS, DIR., TX DCJ

The motion of petitioner for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted. The petition for a writ of

certiorari is granted limited to Question 2 presented

by the petition.

CERTIORARI DENIED

92-9146	VAKSMAN, FABIAN V. BOARD OF TRUSTEES
93-116	FALOON, WILLIAM, ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
93-226	PARDEE & CURTIN, ETC., ET AL. V. WEBSTER CTY. COMMN
93-249	CHAGRA, JAMIEL, ET UX. V. CIR
93-288	EXXON CORP., ET AL. V. BOYLE, SUSAN, ETC., ET AL.
93-305	BLANKSTYN, WILLIAM V. CIR
93-324	DIGITRON PACKAGING, INC. V. NLRB
93-332	MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL, ET AL. V. FERC, ET AL.
93-336	BARNETT, RICHARD V. IRS

- 93-339 BURKE, JAMES, ETC. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-342 MERCANTILE EMPLOYEES, ET AL. V. BANK ONE, TEXAS, ET AL.
- 93-348 PGDH LIQUIDATING TRUST, ETC. V. SHALALA, SEC. OF H&HS
- 93-366 MOUAWAD, JOSEPH V. UNITED STATES
- 93-369 WILLIAMS, FRED V. UNITED STATES
- 93-372 SAM'S WHOLESALE CLUB, ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-373 BD. OF ED. ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS V. BD. OF ED. OF ENGLEWOOD
- 93-382) BUTTS, RALPH V. OHIO
- 93-555) OHIO V. BUTTS, RALPH
- 93-400 NY CITY TRANSIT AUTHORITY V. McCUMMINGS, BERNARD
- 93-420 GREEN, ISAIAH V. NC DEPT. TRANSPORTATION
- 93-421 EICHELBERGER, P.T., ET AL. V. BALETTE, JULES, ET AL.
- 93-424 TRINITY INDUSTRIES, INC. V. SHIPES, FOREST HENRY, ET AL.
- 93-449 PLATT, PHILEMON V. IHLE, JUDITH, ET AL.
- 93-455 KILLEEN, VAUGHN, ET AL. V. HALLSTROM, SUE
- 93-460 BAUGHMAN, SAMMY, ETC. V. SOUTH CAROLINA
- 93-482 WEICHERT, ROBERT V. RICHARDS, ADMINR., FAA
- 93-491 CROSTHWAIT EQUIP. CO., ET AL. V. JOHN DEERE CO.
- 93-502 IN RE A. CORDOVA-GONZALEZ
- 93-516 HEARTLAND FED. SAVINGS, ETC. V. BRISCOE ENTERPRISES, LTD.
- 93-519 FEC V. LaROUCHE, LYNDON, ET AL.
- 93-520 GEOFFREY, INC. V. SC DEPT. OF REVENUE, ETC.
- 93-522 HURWITZ, NADINE V. PERALES, CESAR, ETC., ET AL.

- 93-524 LONG ISLAND RR CO. V. BATES, JOHN, ET AL.
- 93-525 WORLDWIDE INS. MGMT. CORP. V. RESOLUTION TRUST CORP, ETC.
- 93-526 HERNANDEZ, TONI V. K MART CORP., ET AL.
- 93-528 GESKE & SONS, INC. V. INTL. UNION, ETC., ET AL.
- 93-529 LAWLINE, ET AL. V. ABA, ET AL.
- 93-532 REYER, JAMES V. TODD, WILLIAM, ET AL.
- 93-533 CAMOSCIO, FRANK V. DONAHUE, JUDGE, SUPER CT. MA
- 93-536) ECHLIN, RAYMOND V. LeCUREUX, WARDEN
- 93-6317) JOHNSON, DONALD V. LeCUREUX, WARDEN
- 93-537 YUTER, SEYMOUR V. TANDEM COMPUTERS INC., ET AL.
- 93-540 SUNDWALL, ASTRID V. GEN. BLDG. SUPPLY CO.
- 93-544 MOLINA DE HERNANDEZ, YOLANDA V. ARIZONA
- 93-545 LAZIRKO, JOHN V. BRICKMAN-ABEGGLEN, M.
- 93-547 FRONTIER PILOTS LITIGATION V. TX AIR CORP., ET AL.
- 93-549 LIFSCHULTZ FAST FREIGHT V. CONSOL. FREIGHTWAYS, ET AL.
- 93-550 J.Z.G. RESOURCES, INC. V. SHELBY INSURANCE CO.
- 93-554 VELAZQUEZ, AGAPITA, ET AL. V. FIGUEROA-GOMEZ, EDNA, ET AL.
- 93-570 LANDGRAF, PATRICIA V. McDONNELL DOUGLAS
- 93-573 WESTFALL, DENNIS V. SOUTHWEST AIRLINES COMPANY
- 93-576 RIMMER, ROY V. OCTAGON GAS SYSTEMS
- 93-581 FLETCHER, ALPHONSE V. KIDDER, PEABODY & CO., INC.
- 93-600 JOHN MORRELL & CO. V. UFCW LOCAL UNION 304A, ET AL.
- 93-601 MOYER PACKING CO., ET AL. V. PETRUZZI'S INC., ETC.

```
93-610
        McDONALD, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
93-616
        UNITED KEETOOWAH BAND, ETC. V. OK TAX COMMISSION
93-624
        ORR, CHARLES V. GENERAL MOTORS CORP., ETC.
93-625
        SCHMIDLING, JACK, ET AL. V. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
93-647
        MAGNOLIA BAR ASSN., ET AL. V. HAWKINS, ARMIS, ET AL.
93-649
        HOLDEN, CHARLES V. REGIONAL AIRPORT AUTH., ETC.
93-664
        KIRATLI, ZITA V. ERSAN RESOURCES, ET AL.
93-5096 MULLINS, BRENTON NEIL V. UNITED STATES
93-5099 KIRSH, MARA, ET VIR V. UNITED STATES
93-5171 KNIGHT, HENRY D. V. VIRGIN ISLANDS
93-5284 JOHNSON-JOHNSON, PEDRO L. V. UNITED STATES
93-5299 KENDALL, CHARLES R. V. KENDALL, LINDA K.
93-5377 SWINNEY, GREG V. UNITED STATES
93-5485 SPEARS, JAMES DAVID V. UNITED STATES
93-5532 WASHINGTON, GEORGE V. UNITED STATES
93-5539 ANIMASHAUN, AKINTUNDE TAOFIK V. INS
93-5568 GAMBRAH, JOHN O. V. UNITED STATES
93-5660 NYBERG, DAVID ANSGAR V. SNOVER, KENNETH R., ET AL.
93-5683 ) SLOAN, JIMMY V. UNITED STATES
93-5699) DANIELS, MICHAEL, ET AL. V. UNITED STATES
93-5750 ) GRAY, ANTHONY V. UNITED STATES
93-5895 ) HENRY, DEBRA V. UNITED STATES
```

93-5696 MAXWELL, FREDERICK V. PENNSYLVANIA

- 93-5703 MYRE, ALFRED E. V. IOWA
- 93-5718 RUTULANTE, PASQUALE V. GOMEZ, DIR., CA DOC, ET AL.
- 93-5722 RUPP, ROSIE M. V. HHS
- 93-5778 BROWN, CLARENCE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5803 HENDERSON, ROBERT V. BANK OF NEW ENGLAND
- 93-5842 BRYANT, VICTOR GEORGE V. MUTH, WILLIAM R., ET AL.
- 93-5843 BENAVIDES, EDUARDO M. V. BUREAU OF PRISONS
- 93-5867 DESAMOUR, REYNOLDO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5870 BARNETT, RUDOLPH VALENTINO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5892 SAMA, PEDRO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5894 WHITE, RANDY V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5906 HODGES, GEORGE M. V. FLORIDA
- 93-5991 SANTANA, ARI V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6088 HARRIS, LANEY J. V. SULLIVAN, SEC. OF THE ARMY
- 93-6098 DE LA GARZA, ROBERT V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6099 SMITH, LEONARD EDWARD V. TENNESSEE
- 93-6168 PHILLIPS, REGINALD LESTER V. CHAMPION, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-6178 GROOMS, GWENDOLYN L. V. MOBAY CHEMICAL CORP.
- 93-6183 MOORHEAD, NORMAN V. TEXAS
- 93-6195 MAURER, MARTIN K. V. KOENIG, COMMISSIONER
- 93-6200 TRELLEZ, OSCAR V. NEW YORK
- 93-6206 DE LOS SANTOS FERRER, MARIA V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6207 GRIM, ROBERT V. MISSOURI

- 93-6211 NAUGLE, PHILLIP E. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6218 TURNER, ROBERT DOUGLAS V. MURRAY, DIR., VA DOC
- 93-6226 SCHOKA, ANDRE V. SHERIFF, WASHOE CO.
- 93-6227 WARD, STEPHEN V. PETERS, HOWARD, ET AL.
- 93-6233 WINFIELD, R. D. V. MICHIGAN
- 93-6234 BOROS, GEORGE V. BAXLEY, WILLIAM J., ET AL.
- 93-6235 ELLIOTT, JOHN W. V. TEXAS
- 93-6237 JOHNSON, KAY C. V. SOUTH MAIN BANK
- 93-6238 GOSSETT, CALVIN V. WALKER, SUPT., AUBURN
- 93-6241 GOFF, GEORGE V. DAILEY, DEPUTY SUPT., ET AL.
- 93-6242 JAAKKOLA, JOHN V. STATE INDUSTRIAL INSURANCE
- 93-6254 SLABOCHOVA, BOHUMILA V. WESTFIELD INSURANCE CO.
- 93-6256 SPREMO, ALFRED V. DURANTE, JOAN, ET AL.
- 93-6260 MITCHELL, RONALD I. V. KEMNA, SUPT., W. MO C.C.
- 93-6262 PEARSALL, JOHN W. V. PHILLIPS, VIOTETTE, ET AL.
- 93-6264 McKEE, DENNIS L. V. NIX, WARDEN
- 93-6265 NELSON, TERRY V. NEUBERT, ADMINR., BAYSIDE
- 93-6268 GOLDBERG, MORRIS V. CLEVELAND CLINIC, FLORIDA
- 93-6271 BARTON, JOHN HENRY V. COLLINS, DIR., TX DCJ
- 93-6272 BROWN, WALTER V. GEORGIA
- 93-6279 MARTIN, FAVIS CLAY V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6280 RUPERT, SIGALIT V. SOLEY, DAVID
- 93-6286 OMASTA, WILLIAM R. V. STOKES, JAMES TED, ET AL.

- 93-6288 WOHLFORD, WILLIAM, ET UX. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6292 HINES, LARRY D. V. THURMAN, WARDEN
- 93-6299 SCHWARZ, BARBARA V. OFFICE OF THRIFT SUPV.
- 93-6304 GALAN, RAOUL A. V. GEGENHEIMER, LARRY, ET AL.
- 93-6311 MITCHELL, ARTHUR V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6312 MANS, FREDDIE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6335 ABATE, TESHOME V. AVENENTI, JOHN, ET AL.
- 93-6340 CORETHERS, CLARENCE V. OHIO STATE PROSECUTOR
- 93-6341 CUMMINGS, GEORGE F. V. HUGHES, FRED, ET AL.
- 93-6342 ANDERSON, GRANT V. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, ET AL.
- 93-6347 THORNE, IAN V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6349 BROOKS, R. L. V. BERGMAN, J.
- 93-6357 SWENSON, MILLARD V. TRICKEY, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-6358 DEGLI, SEGBEAYA V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6359 WIATER, PATRICIA V. SHALALA, SEC. OF H&HS
- 93-6368 TISDALE. ALBERT ALEXANDER V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6386 CASTRO, JOSE ALFREDO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6387 BROCKSMITH, JACK D. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6394 RIDEOUT, ROBERT J. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6395 PALAZZOLA, DOMINIC V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6396 ROBINSON, CORIN V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6397 MEANS, DAVID WAYNE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6398 POLANCO, JULIO V. UNITED STATES

- 93-6399 PARKER, STEVEN ALTONIO V. NEVADA
- 93-6400 ONWUASOANYA, MARY E. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6404 WILLIAMS, RONALD LEE V. FLORIDA
- 93-6405 SHELTON, BRENDA, ET AL. V. HUGH SCOTT, ET AL.
- 93-6406 SHELBY, ALAN LAWRENCE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6412 SAVAGE, RICHARD V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6416 CAMACHO, ALEJANDRO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6417 SCIRE, FRANK V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6418 THOMAS, JOSEPH ROLAND V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6419 REVELS, JAMES E. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6420 ROBINSON, CECIL MARIE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6422 NUTTLE, DAVID A., ET UX. V. CIR
- 93-6424 HANNAH, EDMOND L. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6425 FORDE, BEVERLY V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6428 STEPHENS, ROBERT LOUIS V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6429 BYFIELD, WAYNE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6436 ENGLISH, DENNIS L. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6439 FROST, DAVID L. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6440 VILLANUEVA, DAVID ARIAS V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6444 HANIF, MOHAMMAD V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6446 EMRA, DARREL M. V. MAASS, SUPT.
- 93-6449 PLANCARTE-RAYA, ADOLFO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6451 LAWSON, DAVID V. UNITED STATES

- 93-6452 FINN, ROY R. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6453 COSTA, JOHN PAUL V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6456 COREY, JOHN V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6464 WILLIS, JOHN V. RISON, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-6465 DOPICO, FRANK V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6467 CIANCA, VICTOR S. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6470 MUZINGO, GLEN ALAN V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6483 McFARLAND, FRANK BASIL V. TEXAS
- 93-6484 SIMMONS, LARRY BARBENTEN V. MARYLAND
- 93-6485 MUNIZ, LEO ORLANDO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6488 PAZEL, DEANNA MARIE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6489 LOVETT, AARON KEITH V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6490 McWHORTER, DAVID V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6504 MOREJON-GOMEZ, PEDRO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6505 JONES, ROY M. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6514 WILLIAMS, BILLY V. FOWLER, CAPTAIN
- 93-6525 PLEDGER, ANDREW JAMES V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6526 OAKS, MARY CERCILE V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6529 MANTILLA, EDUARDO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6530 NIX, KIRKSEY McCORD V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6531 NEKU, SABELO T. V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6536 MERKL, G. BRADFORD V. HARDY, DANIEL T., ET AL.
- 93-6541 KABA, KARAMO B. V. UNITED STATES

- 93-6561 SMITH, DONALD ROY V. UNITED STATES
- 93-6564 GRAHAM, FREDERICK V. UNITED STATES

 The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.
- 93-319 RASCH, STEPHEN V. NATL, RR PASSENGER CORP.
- 93-615 ATKINS, REYNALDO V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5990 ABDULLAH, MUSTAFA A. V. FBI
- 93-6458 FREEMAN, DAVID R. V. UNITED STATES

The petitions for writs of certiorari are denied.

Justice Ginsburg took no part in the consideration or decision of these petitions.

93-432 MORALES, JOSE, ET AL. V. BARGE-WAGENER, ETC., ET AL.

The motion of National Employment Lawyers

Association for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae*is granted. The motion of United States of Mexico, et
al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is
granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is
denied.

- 93-451 WHITLEY, HAROL, ET AL. V. STANDEN, WARREN

 The motion of respondent for leave to proceed *in*forma pauperis is granted. The petition for a writ of

 certiorari is denied.
- 93-510 AETNA LIFE INS. CO., ET AL. V. STUART CIRCLE HOSP. CORP.

The motion of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of CIGNA for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of Travelers Insurance Company, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici* curiae is granted. The motion of Association of Private Pension and Welfare Benefit Plans for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of National Coordinating Committee for Multiemployer Plans for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of Connecticut Business and Industry Association for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of American Council of Life Insurance for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of Group Health Association of America, Inc. for leave to file a brief as amicus curiae is granted. The motion of Health Insurance Association of America, et al. for leave to file a brief as amici curiae is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

93-559 CO ST. BD. OF AG. V. ROBERTS, JENNIFER, ET AL.

The motion of Alabama Association of School Boards,

et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of Brown University, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The motion of American Council on Education, et al. for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* is granted. The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

93-562 McMANUS, E. J., ETC. V. IOWA, ET AL.

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Justice Blackmun took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

93-6407 GAFFNEY, RUPERT E. V. ARIZONA

The petition for a writ of certiorari is denied.

Justice O'Connor took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

HABEAS CORPUS DENIED

93-5204 IN RE JUSTIN ROGERS ROUTT

93-6642 IN RE SUE MONROE

The petitions for writs of habeas corpus are denied.

MANDAMUS DENIED

93-6175 IN RE THOMAS CONBOY

93-6291 IN RE EDWARD N. NEWTOP

The petitions for writs of mandamus are denied.

- 93-557 IN RE DONALD JOHNSON
- 93-6203 IN RE CLARENCE CORETHERS

The petitions for writs of mandamus and/or prohibition are denied.

REHEARINGS DENIED

92-1806	MEYER, CHARLES V. LELSZ, JOHN, ET AL.
92-1827	BONA, FRANK V. CHAVEZ, MANUEL, ET AL.
92-1869	BULLWINKLE, WALTER V. ALASKA
92-1881	THOMSEN, NADINE V. JUVENILE DEPT., ET AL.
92-1997	CARTER, WILFRED V. CERTIFIED GROCERS CALIFORNIA
92-2027	WOODS, JOHN V. UNITED STATES
92-2045	SMITH, BOBBY, ET AL. V. SHALALA, SEC. H&HS
92-2061	SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. V. HENDERSON, RUSSELL, ET AL.
92-8182	ABDULLAH, SHAKUR V. NEBRASKA
92-8474	MILLER-EL, THOMAS JOE V. TEXAS
92-8732	BRANNON, JAMES J. V. LAMAINA, STEPHENIE
92-8766	JOHNSON, ROBERT V. DETROIT COLLEGE, ET AL.
92-8776	ROSE, VERNON LEE V. COLLINS, DIR., TX DCJ
92-8867	BURNS, WILLIAM V. TEXAS
92-8871	YOUNG, KEITH V. GROOSE, WARDEN

92-8941 HUFF, OSCAR V. ABC ENTERPRISES, INC.

- 92-8947 CORRIGAN, JOHN L. V. TOMPKINS, JOANNE L., ET AL.
- 92-8949 HARRIS, CURT Q. V. SACRAMENTO COUNTY, ET AL.
- 92-8952 SCHULTZ, JAMES V. WISCONSIN
- 92-8972 HELMS, DEWEY L. V. REYNOLDS, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 92-8999 BOUNDS, JOE A. V. UNITED STATES
- 92-9025 STEELE, VERNETTA V. CALIFORNIA DEPT. SOC. SERV.
- 92-9041 BURGER, CHRISTOPHER A. V. ZANT, WARDEN
- 92-9077 CLARK, ALAN DALE V. NAGLE, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 92-9106 FOX, STEPHAN H. V. HUTTON, JEROME D., ET AL.
- 92-9168 SCOTT, SAMUEL V. TYSON FOODS, INC.
- 92-9179 IN RE ASUQUO NKOP
- 92-9192 McFALL, EARL V. WILKINSON, WALLACE, ET AL.
- 92-9193 LANE, RONNY JOE V. RAMEY, TOM B., ET AL.
- 92-9202 CRAYTON, DAVID LYNN V. KENTUCKY
- 92-9203 AGRON, BATYAH LEVI V. CLINTON, PRES. OF U.S.
- 92-9235 CATO, RALPH V. MORALES, ATTY. GEN. OF TX
- 93-20 JOHNSTON, LYNN V. ZEFF & ZEFF, ET AL.
- 93-26 DUNCAN, CARL V. COBB, WARREN, ET AL.
- 93-40 SCINTO, JOHN V. STAMM, R. DAVID, ET AL.
- 93-42 MITCHELL, HARRY, ET UX. V. CIR
- 93-124 MICK, PETER, ET AL. V. RESOLUTION TRUST CORP.
- 93-153 ARNOLD, GEORGE V. LEONARD, ROBERT, ET AL.
- 93-200 COWHIG, EDWARD V. STONE, SEC. OF THE ARMY

- 93-237 MALONEY, DANIEL V. UNITED STATES, ET AL.
- 93-243 JAMES, JOE, ET UX. V. LIVONIA, MICHIGAN, ET AL.
- 93-354 LOOMIS, WENDELL V. WALLIS & SHORT, P.C.
- 93-368 DUNNING, ROBERT V. CIR
- 93-5010 RUCHMAN, FRANCES, ET AL. V. WOLFF, L., ET AL.
- 93-5011 POMPE, AGNES V. YONKERS, ET AL.
- 93-5030 BROWN, MELVIN A. V. CHICOPEE FIRE FIGHTERS
- 93-5058 DEOBLER, BRUCE V. KINTZELE, JACK, ET AL.
- 93-5112 LOUCKS, KATHY, ET AL. V. PHILLIPS PETROLEUM COMPANY
- 93-5116 PARTEE, ELLIS O. V. PETERS, DIR., IL DOC, ET AL.
- 93-5124 JOHNSON, JAMES V. HARDCASTLE, JIM, ET AL.
- 93-5130 ALCALA, RODNEY JAMES V. CALIFORNIA
- 93-5198 LORENZO, WINDYCESLAU V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5287 WILLIAMS, ANNIE, ET VIR V. TRUMBAUER, ALICE, ET AL.
- 93-5295 ATHERTON, PETER JAMES V. VIRGINIA
- 93-5300 HALEY, REGINALD J. V. BORG, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-5316 DEMAURO, LOUIS V. COLDWELL, BANKER, ET AL.
- 93-5347 WALTON, JEFFREY ALAN V. ARIZONA
- 93-5383 RAMSEY, MELODY V. OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER
- 93-5388 WEAVER, RONALD V. KAYE, WILLIAM, ET AL.
- 93-5389 WEAVER, RONALD V. STRINE, JOHN, ET AL.
- 93-5433 SIEGEL, RICHARD V. BERKEIMER ASSOCIATES
- 93-5479 SHAW, CHARLES WARREN V. MISSOURI

- 93-5558 GACY, JOHN W. V. WELBORN, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-5583 SWAIN, JOYCELINE V. DETROIT BD. OF ED.
- 93-5624 WHITSON, DARIUS V. HILLHAVEN WEST, INC., ET AL.
- 93-5633 O'DONNELL, MICHAEL JAMES V. AMER. CAREER TRAINING CORP.
- 93-5648 BARKER, WAYNE ERNEST V. COLLINS, DIR. TX DCI
- 93-5725 VOLLBRECHT, TERRY V. WISCONSIN
- 93-5733 IN RE MACK W. CAMPBELL
- 93-5772 CHERRY, ROBERT V. RATELLE, WARDEN, ET AL.
- 93-5773 DeROSA, JOSEPH V. NEW YORK
- 93-5837 ANDERSON, GRANT V. UNITED STATES
- 93-5987 PAUL, THOMAS J. V. CIR

The petitions for rehearing are denied.

92-1947 WOODS, EDWARD V. AT&T INFO. SYSTEMS, ET AL.

The petition for rehearing is denied. Justice

O'Connor took no part in the consideration or decision

of this petition.

93-222 McCOO, SAMUEL V. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

The petition for rehearing is denied. Justice

Ginsburg took no part in the consideration or decision of this petition.

MOTIONS FOR ADMISSION

The written motions for admission of the following

lawyers to the Bar of this Court are granted: